UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,779	01/06/2006 Emil Patrascu		62258A	1481
The Dow Chem	7590 03/18/200 iical Company	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop		GALE, KELLETTE		
P.O. Box 1967 Midland, MI 48	641-1967		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Aı	oplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/541,779	PATRASCU ET /	PATRASCU ET AL.			
		E	kaminer	Art Unit				
			ELLETTE GALE	1621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the to reply within the set or extended period for reply teply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	E OF THIS COMMUNICAT In no event, however, may a reply only and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11 July 2	2005					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ion is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <mark> </mark>	ed or b)⊡ objected to by t	he Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drav	ving(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction i	is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/541,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rainer et al (DE 19961521).

Applicant claims a process for recovering a solid adduct of a bis(4-hydroxyaryl)alkane and a phenolic compound from a suspension comprising the adduct, wherein the process comprises the steps of:

- a) supplying the suspension to a rotary filter
- b) filtering the supplied suspension in the rotary filter to retain adduct as an adduct cake.
 - c) pre-drying the adduct cake with an inert gas
 - d) washing the pre-dried adduct cake

Application/Control Number: 10/541,779 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

e) with or without drying of the washed adduct cake, and

f) discharging the washed adduct cake from the rotary filter.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art
(MPEP §2141.01)

Rainer et al teach a process wherein adducts of bis(4-hydroxyaryl)alkanes with hydroxyarenes are separated and purified by continuous filtration in a rotating vacuum drum filter. The drum filter contains filter cells including a suction zone, a washing zone, a dry suction zone, and aeration zone, and optionally a filter cake withdrawal zone and a cloth filter washing zone (Please see ACS on STN printout of abstract).

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between the prior art and the claims is that Rainer et al fail to teach a step of pre-drying the adduct cake with an inert gas.

Finding of prima facie obviousness

Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

Since there are inert gases in the atmosphere and before the adduct is put into the filter it is in the atmosphere drying via inert gases, it would be obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to pre-dry the adduct cake before putting it into the filter.

Also, applicant's invention only requires drying to be done once and Rainer et al's invention does dry the adduct cake at least once, therefore one having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to dry the adduct cake before washing as it is done so in

Art Unit: 1621

Rainer et al's disclosure. Please also note that Rainer et al purifies continuously therefore; there is actually drying taking place before washing as the steps are done more than once.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KELLETTE GALE whose telephone number is (571)272-8038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30am-3:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, YVONNE EYLER can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kellette Gale Patent Examiner Technology Center 16000

March 11, 2008

/Samuel A Barts/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621